

15 Murahison St  
Rosebery 7470  
5th Jan, 2013.

The Chairman  
Tasmanian Economic Regulator  
Dear Sir,

Although I submitted correspondence last year on the issue of MAIB premiums, on your advice I am re-submitting my request for a concession to be applied for people in my situation.

It concerns the burden of having to pay two premiums for two vehicles owned by the one person.

The application "per vehicle" seems unfair.

It would be much fairer for a concept of "per person" thereby paying only one premium to cover both vehicles.

The last MAIB premium for my motorcycle was \$442 and that of my car \$344 making a total of \$786 !!

This seems to be quite ludicrous especially when the limited recreational usage of my motorcycle is taken into consideration.

It seems so unfair and unjust!

Surely some concession could be granted in such cases!

Also I have been denied any concession as the holder of a seniors health care card.

I have enclosed a copy of my letter to MAIB with further details — also copies of

previous correspondence.

It is just so difficult making ends meet these days with bureaucratic and exorbitant costs ex-acerbating the situation.

The fair-go principle seems to be lost!

My hope is for some understanding and positive action to relieve the burdens of the ordinary person.

Instead of expedient decisions resulting in the imposition of extra exorbitant expenses for the battler, perhaps more consideration could be given to help ease the financial situation.

To be constantly bombarded with huge costs is so demoralising and disillusioning.

I just hope something can be done in relation to the issues I have raised.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

Regards,  
Robert Westby

15 Muttonhison St

Rosebery 7470

5th Jan, 2013

The CEO

MAIB Launceston

Dear Sir,

Even though there has been previous correspondence with your office on the issue of MAIB premiums (copies enclosed) including the office of the economic regulator, I am writing yet again in the hope that some consideration will be given to providing relief from such major expenses.

It concerns the burden of having to pay two premiums for two vehicles owned by the one person.

The application "per vehicle" seems unfair.

It would be much fairer for a concept of "per person" thereby paying only one premium to cover both vehicles.

The last MAIB premium for my motorcycle was \$442 and that of my car \$344 making a total of \$786 !!

This seems to be quite ludicrous especially when the limited recreational usage of my motorcycle is taken into consideration.

It seems so unfair and unjust!

Surely some concession could be granted in such cases!

Also I have been denied any concession as the holder of a seniors health care card.

I have worked hard all my life to raise a family on one ordinary wage and to make provision for my retirement with careful prioritisation necessary and many sacrifices being made.

To make ends meet these days is increasingly difficult and the "bureaucracy" continues to exploit the average ordinary person with its exorbitant costs.

It's no wonder there is such misery and anguish evident.

All we want is a fair-go!

The \$786 MAIB premium in my situation is really outrageous!

I received a reply last August from the economic regulator which merely stated that I should raise my concerns at their next investigation early this year!

But I have already raised my concerns and they are on record!

Why do I have to raise them again when they are already known?

Their concept of "per vehicle" will have to change to "per person"!

My plea is that someone will actually listen and take positive action for a concession that is fair, just and moral.

Your attention and consideration is appreciated.

Thank you.

Regards,

Robert Westley

(P.S. I will send a copy of this letter to the economic regulator.)